carbon sequestration leadership forum

Options for the CSLF PIRT

For discussion

Martine Woolf

by Åse Slagtern

How would PIRT function best?

- Key questions:
  - PIRT's objectives?
  - PIRT's relevance to CSLF Technology Roadmap?



PIRT Objectives?

- PIRT Terms of Reference: Project recognition focus
  - No new projects have been proposed recently
  - Are recognizing projects essential for CSLF outcomes?

- 2017 Roadmap:

"*PIRT will monitor progress in CCS made in relation to priority actions, by*
  - *Solicit progress from all CSLF members w.r.t CCS*
  - *Gather information from a wide range of sources on global process of CCS*
  - *Prepare a reporting template that highlights progress made in relation to priority actions*
  - *Report to Technical Group & to the Ministerial meetings.* "

Options for PIRT

Reactivate

On Demand

Abolish

Reactivate PIRT

- CSLF continues to recognise projects.
  - Supported through PIRT, with streamlined process.

To do:

1) Rearticulate objectives and benefits of CSLF recognised projects
2) Align criteria for project recognition with Roadmap
3) Ensure value proposition for projects seeking recognition
4) Define strategy to seek new nominations

Pro: CSLF continues to have recognised projects as experience base	Con: Relatively work intensive; potentially inefficient way to achieve the envisaged outcomes of the Roadmap.

On Demand PIRT

- CSLF no longer recognises (new) projects
- PIRT is stood up on demand for specific project related tasks.
- PIRT Taskforce: sitting chair, no fixed membership

To do:

1. Develop Terms of Reference,
2. CSLF members to volunteer when stood up.
3. CSLF members to support PIRT through facilitating contact with projects
4. Manage ceasing project recognition function as reputational issue

Pro: Efficient and effective; targeted activity. Streamlines meetings, reduces workload for PIRT members

Con: Loss of CSLF function. Uncertain whether PIRT can be resourced if stood up at short notice

Abolish PIRT as formal body

- CSLF no longer recognises (new) projects
- No PIRT with separate Terms of Reference
- Project related work is undertaken through taskforces

To do:

1. Manage ceasing project recognition function as reputational issue

carbon sequestration leadership forum

Pro: Targeted activity is more efficient and effective than 'standing' bodies.	Con: Loss of function. Uncertain whether taskforce can be resourced if stood up at short notice. Less engagement members?

Over to you..

Open for input and thoughts from the delegates

A final decision needs to take place